Quality Evaluation on Private Higher Education Institutions in Pekanbaru (Integrating Kano Model and Quality Function Deployment)

Astri Ayu Purwati Silvia Sari Sitompul

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Pelita Indonesia, Jalan. A. Yani no 78-88 Pekanbaru astri.ayu@lecturer.pelitaindonesia.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The era of ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) requires all higher education institutions in Asia should be ready to compete each other so the alumni can fulfill the requirements in ASEAN labor market. The purpose of this research is to evaluate the quality of higher education institutions (HEI) in Pekanbaru based on student sperceptions and to provide some technical responses to result in quality improvement. Samples of this research are seven (7) private universities which were selected by using cluster sampling method with 379 students of the total respondents. This research also used Kano Model and Quality Function Deployment approach as the integration tools which can help togather voice of customer (VoC) and generate a matrix of priority needs and technical responds in a form of House of Quality. The result of this research found 9 (nine) priority on need. They are student's achievement index which is more than 3.00, research supervising by the lecturer, lecturer's discipline, ability of the use of technology, lecturer's assessment method, academic staff's passion and patience in delivering service, english proficiency, teaching and learning atmosphere, academic Information Effectiveness. From this research, HEI can conduct several steps to improve the quality such as lecturer and staff's training and development, monitoring of teaching and learning process, student's softskill and practical ability improvement, and monitoring of academic's rules and procedures.

Keywords: Kano Model, Quality Function Deployment, House of Quality, Private Universities

Introduction

Globalization in the era of today's technology demands every country increasingly to be able to deal with the tight competition. One way to overcome the competition is to manage the quality of human resources(Sawaji et al, 2011).

Education is a factor which playes an important role in the development of science and create human resources who are able to compete with national and global markets. Regarding to the initiation of the ASEAN Economic Community (MEA) era, the institutional basedNational College should prepare to compete freely ingenerating alumni who are able to dominate the labor market in ASEAN.

Tabel 1. Global Competitiveness Index Year 2015-2016 (5th Pillar : Education and

Training)									
Country	5th Pillar 2014-2015	5th Pillar 2015-2016							
Singapore	2	1							
Malaysia	46	36							
Thailand	59	56							
Indonesia	61	65							
Philiphina	64	63							
Vietnam	96	96							
Kamboja	123	123							

Sumber : World Economic Forum, 2016

The table 1 above shows the 5th pillar of in and training education global competitiveness index. For education and training, Indonesia ranked 56 in the period2015-2016 which is under Singapore. Malaysia and Thailand in ASEANCompetition. means It that Education and Training in Indonesia need to be organized well especially in improving education quality which can result in improved global competitiveness ranking.

Higher Education Institutions (HEI2-s) in (especially private Indonesia higher education) currently show a fairly rapid development.In 2015, the Ministry of Research, technology and higher education didthe deactivation to 197 Private Higher Education in Indonesia since they didnot meet the quality standards of higher education. Thisaction wascaused by the presence of several problems including academic data reporting problems, ratio betweenlecturers and students and also bad higher education management such as facilities, documentation, classes. etc.Another problem which also takes a part is there has been an imbalance between quality education in Java and outside Java, both public and private education institusion. Inthis case, Indonesian Government needs to create a policy related to centralized quality of higher education (public or private higher education) for the entire city of Indonesia.

According to Qureshi et al, 2012, education institution is one of the services sector which is frequently difficult to measure because; it is intangible, the outcome is the understanding of knowledge of individuals which can change their characteristics and behavior. In this context, every country, especially Indonesia, has itsown accreditation agency that assesses the quality of the higher education institutions by evaluating and accrediting the degree and educational work offered.

One of the way in which educational systems at higher education institutions (HEI's) can be developed and improved is

using and implementing of Total Quality Management (TQM) tools. TQM is an effort to achieve quality of wideorganization. TQM refers to managing quality aspirations which involves every department to achieve excellence in business, by regarding to customers' satisfactions. The usage of such tools willgive the higher education institution strong position among other education institutions since it will get higher quality of education system which makes higher education institution becomes competent. In order to assure that the institution is competitive enough, total quality management tools allow the institutions to review and assess their performances to see whether they follow the required learning and teaching conditions (Al-Tarawneh & Mubaslat, 2011). Theapplication of total quality management is done byusing qualitative and quantitative tools which are useful for higher education institutions to assess theirperformances of the education institution, sothey can findtheir strengths (so they can enhance it) and their weaknesses (so they can eliminate it). Besides, the institution needs to considerate the improvement for theinstitution over time.

Abou Chahine etal.(2008) have showed that the effectiveness of the education quality willfirstdepend on the proper identification of the clients of the HEI's. In this point, there are two primary clients: students and other stakeholders such as parents/guidance, business man/woman, society, etc; where thestudentsperform asdual role: the role as client, and the role asthe product of higher education. Salamehet al. (2011) have declared that the students' satisfactions is the crucial factor for the success of higher education and it is the main point of all TQM practices.

One of the TQM tools is Quality Function Deployment (QFD). QFD is a very wellknown design method, developed in late 1960s in Japan, was used since the aim wastranslating CNs and the goal was technical design requirements and it means that is obligated to use of a series of matrix, called as House of Quality(HoQ), with the aim in satisfying the customers' expectations and improving the quality level of the product at the same time (Mukaddes et al. (2010)).

Methodology

This research was analyzed by using quantitative approach. The object of this research wasstudents at private higher education institutions (HEI) in Pekanbaru.Sampling method used in this reserach was cluster sampling technique towards the selected 7 private HEIin Pekanbaru which consists of 379 students as the respondents.

The data analysis technique used in this research was validity and reliabiliy analysis to make sure whether every item in Kano and QFD questionaires werevalid or not.

Furthermore, this research continued with an integrated analysis of Kano Model and Quality Function Deployment by doing these following steps :

- 1. Gathering customer's requirements and some questionnaires making coveredfunctional and dysfunctional questions. The first question (functional questions) wasto determine how customer feels if the requirement was fulfilled and the second question (dysfungsional questions) wasto determine how customer feels if the requirement were not fulfilled.
- 2. Combining the functional and dysfungsional questions as this evaluation table below:

Tabel 3. Kano's Evaluation Table	Tabel	3.	Kano	's	Eval	luation	Table
----------------------------------	-------	----	------	----	------	---------	-------

C	Desuisement	Dysfunctional (Negative) Question									
Customer	Requirement	1.Like	2. Must be	3. Neutral	4. Live with	5. Dislike					
al (Positive) estion	1.Like	Q	A A A		A	0					
	2. Must be	R	1	1	1	М					
	3. Neutral	R	1	1	1	М					
nction QL	4. Live with	R	I.	I	1	М					
Ξ.	5. Dislike	R	R	R	R	Q					

- 3. Determining of Kano's weight by using Blauth's formula (Walden, 1993) (Jayanti & Singgih, 2012).
- 4. Creating House of Quality (HoQ). The first part of the HoQ is consumer needs matrix. The attrbute contains the voice of consumers, level of importance and Kano's weight for each attribute(Jayanti & Singgih, 2012). To illustrate HoQ, we can see the picture follows:



Figure 1. Scheme of the House of Quality (HoQ)

- Customers'Requirements The initial steps in forming the House of Quality weredetermining, clarifying and specifying the customers' needs.
- Technical requirements
 - The next step of the QFD process wasidentifying what the customer wants and what must be achieved to satisfy theirwants. Regulatory standards and requirements administeredby the management must be identified. Once all requirements wereidentified, it wasimportant to answer what must be done towardsthe product design to fulfill the requirements needed.
- Planning matrix

To compare how well the team fulfilled the customers' requirements compared to their competitors.

- Customer ratings, typically ranging from 1 to 5, were given to each company relevant to their own requirements.
- The customer ratings werecombined with the weighted performances of

each demand to result in measurement over all performances for all companies.

Interrelation Martix

To establish a connection between customers' requirement products and the performances, the design was measured to improve the product.

- To obtain the opinions of the consumers as far as what they needed and required from a specific product.
- By using these customers'perceptions, the company could begin to formulate a strategy to improve their products.
- To implement this step, the strengths and weaknesses of the company were weighted against the customer priorities to determine: a) what aspects needed to be changed to surpass the competition b) what aspects needed to change to equal the competition c) what aspects will remain similar.
- The optimal combination was desired.
- Technical correlation matrix

What more often called as the Roof was used to help us in developing relationships between customers' requirements and product requirements, identifying whether these team must cooperate each otheror they would be in aconflict.

The following symbols were used to represent what kind of impact of each requirement had: a) \bullet = very strong relationship b) \bullet = strong relationship c) \blacktriangle = weak relationship.

Result and Discussion

Based on the result analysis of Kano model, the attribute of quality (M) wasthe requirements for fulfillingcustomer expectation. When it was done, customers wereneutral, but when it was done badly, customers would bevery disappointed.

Regarding to the attribute in one dimensional quality (O), customers were satisfied if their expectations were fulfilled and they were dissatisfied when their expectations were not fulfilled. These are the attributes which were discussed and the thingswhich every company competed for. Furthermore, forAttractive Quality (A), theseattributes provided satisfactions when this point was achieved fully, but it didn't cause dissatisfaction when it was not fulfilled. These were theattributes which werenot normally expected. In Indifferent Quality (I), this attribute referred to aspects which were neither good nor bad, and they did not result in either customer satisfaction or customer dissatisfaction.

1. Kano Model Analysis

No	Atribute	Category
1	Curriculum oriented in the diversity of	0
	science and technology, skills and the	
	demand of profession	
2	Lecturer provides syllabus	А
3	Lecture's Textbook, materials/handout	0
4	Lecturer's discipline	Α
5	Lecturer's ability to delivering knowledge	М
	to students	
6	Teaching and learning atmosfhere	0
7	Lecturer's assessment method	Α
8	e-learning	М
9	Interaction between student and lecturer	0
10	Research supervising by the lecturer	А
11	Public services by the lecturer and students	Ι
No	Atribute	Category
12	Academic Information Effectiveness	0
13	Student's registration process	М
14	The selection of new students	0
15	Academic servicess effectiveness	0
16	Academic staffs are neatly dressed	А
17	Academic staff's passion and patience in	А
	delivering service	
18	Classroom facility	0
19	Facility of health and sport	М
20	Library Facility	А
21	E-Library	А
22	Campus environment	М
23	Internet access	0
24	Computer laboratory	А
25	Parking facility	0
26	Mastering of knowledge and skill	А
27	Level of achievement index more than 3.00	Α
28	Field work experience	Μ
29	Organizational experience	М
30	Ability of the use of technology	0
31	English proficiency	0
32	Problem solving ability	М

From the table 4, there were8 attributes were quality (M), 12 attributes were onedimensional quality (O),11 attributes wereattractive quality (A), and 1 attribute wasIndifferent Quality (I).

2. The Analysis f Priorityon Needs Table 5. Result of the Importance of Adjusment

No	Attribute	KW	Ι	GAP	AI
1	Lecturer's discipline	4	3,15	-0,57	7,18
2	Teaching and learning atmosfhere	2	3,15	-0,89	5,60
3	Lecturer's assesment method	4	3,26	-0,51	6,65
4	Research supervising by the lecturer	4	3,34	-0,67	8,95
5	Academic Information Effectiveness	2	3,27	-0,86	5,62
6	Academic staff's passion and patience in delivering service	4	3,10	-0,52	6,44
7	Level of achievement index more than 3.00	4	3,28	-0,71	9,31
8	Ability of the use of technology	2	3,54	-0,99	7,00
9	English proficiency	2	3,48	-0,85	5,91

KW = Kano's Weight, I = Importance, IA = the Importance of Adjustment

The result of the importance of adjustment shown above, there were nine (9) customer requirements which will be the priority. On theLevel of achievement index which was more than 3.00 covered Research supervising by the lecturer, Lecturer's discipline, Ability of the use of technology, Lecturer's assessment method, Academic staff's passion and patience in delivering service, English proficiency, Teaching and learning atmosphere, Academic Information Effectiveness.

3. Anaysis of Priority on Technical Responses

Table 6. Technical Response

No	Technical Response	Priority	%
1	Lecturer and staff's	466.1	37.5
	training and		
	development		
2	Monitoring of teaching	209.3	16.8
	and learning process		
3	Monitoring of	124.8	10.0
	academic's rules and		
	procedures		
4	student's softskill and	205.6	16.5
	practical ability		
	improvement		

Table 6 Technical Responses that were prioritized werefollows Lecturer and staff's training and development, Monitoring teaching and learning process monitoring, student's softskill and practical ability improvement, and monitoring of academic's rules and procedures.

The percentage of priority ontechnical wasobtained through responses the relationship matrix between priority on needs and the priority on technical responses shown by HEI. Figure 2 showed the relationship matrix that was mentioned before which the symbol ● indicated very strong relationship with the number of value 9, symbol **O** indicated strong relationship with the number of value 3 and symbol **▲**indicated weak relationship with the number of value 1.

The structure of priority of customer needs wasa key component of HoQ.The set of priority of customer needs would have a major impact on further product development activities. In HoO matrix, the matrix explainedsome technical responses that couldbe used as improvement point which must be done by Private Higher Education Institutions (HEI), as follows : 1) Lecture's quality plays a crucial role for a student's educationquality. Therefore, it wasso important for private HEI to recruit highly qualified teachers based on the regulation and pay much attention in the ratio of lecturers and students 2) Private HEIprovides quality procedures to control the teaching and learning process such as subject design and objectives, coursenotes and books. assets, teacher-student communications, formative assessment and subject evaluation and also lecturer education background. 3) Motivation is the determination to achieve the success of the quality in teaching and learning process.

Private HEI should provide lecturers theregular payment of salaryand other Remuneration and give them opportunities to develop their knowledge andskill through training or workshop 4) Private HEI should encourage lecturer to be more creativeand innovative and give themappreciation or award on theirefforts and achievements. 5) Private HEI provides facilities to the lecturer in order to accelerate the teaching and learning process.

performance based on academic rules, procedures and job descriptions. 8) Institutions of higher education responded to the technology changes. Technology hadmade this application more possible in introducing teaching and learning and academic procedures that wasless limitedby time and place. Therefore, Private HEI needed to concern about technology usage in order to make an efficientand effective academic process.

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

	Figure 2. House of Quality					<u> </u>					\geqslant	\triangleright	$\mathbf{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}$	\searrow
No	Attribute	Kano's Weight	Importance	GAP	A djusted Importance	Curriculum development	Lecturer and staff's training and development	Teaching and learning process monitoring	A cademic's rules and procedures monitoring	Process improvement of academic services	Improvement of campus facility	Improvement of internet and technology facility	Increasing coorperation other company or institution	Improvement of student's softskill and practical
1	Lecturer's discipline	4	3,15	-0,57	7,18		•	•	•				•	
2	Teaching and learning atmosfhere (fun, creative, interractive and motivated)	2	3,15	-0,89	5,60		٠	0						
з	Lecturer's assesment method	4	3,26	-0,51	6,65	0	•	0	0					
4	Research supervising by the lecturer	4	3,34	-0,67	8,95		٠	0	0					
5	Academic staff's passion and patience in delivering service	2	3,27	-0,86	5,62		٠		•	٠				
e	Facility of health and sport	4	3,10	-0,52	6,44		٠	0			•			
7	Level of achievement index more than 3.00	4	3,28	-0,71	9,31	0	0	•						٠
8	Ability of the use of technology	2	3,54	-0,99	7,00	0	٠	0				•		•
9	English proficiency	2	3,48	-0,85	5,91	0	•							•
	Total Prioritas					86,6	466,1	209,3	124,8	50,6	9,0	63,0	28,0	205,6
	70 FIIUIRAS					7,0	37,5	16,8	10,0	4,1	0,7	5,1	2,3	16,5

6) Private HEI has to develop an evaluation, appraisal measurement or towards lecturer performance in teaching and learning process, research and lecturer socialrelationship. 7) Managing academic staff performance such as assistingstaff to develop the academic and professionalityand to provide them with reliable information about institution and also to monitor and assess their 9) Improve students' competencies byinvesting and accelerating proviciency, students' motivation and encouragement, developing curriculum and lecturer's sillabus which wasfocused on The improvement of students' softskills and practical abilities. Making combinationof competency-based and blended learning environment makes it possible to customize students'learning experiences.

Conclusion

Total quality management (TQM) is a development of management science designed to improve the quality at every level to achieve their excellence. TQM has a remarkable application onHEI's which the adaption of TOM canhelp the higher education institution to maintain their position, competitive satisfy all stakeholders, focus on the market needs and achieve higher performances. Quality Function Deployment (QFD) by integrating Kano model is one of the quality tools that can be used in order to evaluate the current quality towards HEI which had applied this system. The HoQ matrix obtained some quality improvement points that could be implemented in Private HEI. By knowing this research analysis, HEI management could provide quality standard to make sure that all customers' requirements could be fulfilled to meet their satisfactions.

References

- Abou Chahine, S, Jammal, A, Kaissi, B, Loutfi, M, 2008, Guide I: Introduction to Quality Management in Higher Education in Lebanon, (Project ID: SCM-M014A05).
- Al-Bashir, A, 2017. Applying Total Quality Management Tools Using QFD at Higher Education Institutions in Gulf Area (Case Study: ALHOSN University), International Journal of Production Management and Engineering, 4(2), 87-98
- Al-Tarawneh, H, Mubaslat, M, 2011, The Implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) on the Higher Educational Sector in Jordan, International Journal of Industrial Marketing, 1(1), 1-10.
- Jayanti, Y,N, & Singgih, Moses, L, 2012, Peningkatan Kualitas Layanan Pengujian Dan Kalibrasi Peralatan

Kesehatan dengan Menggunakan Servqual Method, Kano Integrasi Model Quality Function Dan (QFD). Deployment Prosiding Seminar Nasional Manajemen Teknologi XV, A-49: 1-9.

- Mukaddes, A, M, Bagum, M, N, Islam, M, A, Bashar, M, A, Chakrabarty, V, 2010, Translating the Student's Voice into Teaching Techniques: A Quality Function Deployment Approach. Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, 237-241.
- Qureshi, M, I, Khan, K, Bhatti, M, N, Khan, A, & Zaman, K, 2012, Quality Function Deployment in Higher Education Institutes of Pakistan. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 12 (8) : 1111-1118.
- Salameh, R, Alzyadat, M, Alnsour, J, 2011, Implementation of (TQM) in the Faculty of Planning & Management at Al-Balqa Applied University, International Journal of Business and Management, 6(3), 194-207.
- Sawaji, Jamaluddin, Hamzah, Djabir & Taba, Idrus, 2011, Pengambilan Keputusan Mahasiswa dalam Memilih Perguruan Tinggi Swasta di Sulawesi Selatan.
- World Economic Forum, 2016, Global Competitiveness Index Tahun 2015-2016, https://www.weforum.org/ Retrieved on March 25th, 2016.